

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Ho-Yang LEE et al

Application No.: 09/857,774

Filed: June 11, 2001

For: METHOD FOR SINGLE CRYSTAL GROWTH OF PEROVSKITE...

Confirmation No.: 9764

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Art Unit: 1765

Examiner: M. ANDERSON

Washington, D.C.

Atty.'s Docket: LEE=78

Date: December 23, 2002



RECEIVED
DEC 26 2002
TC 1700

Transmitted herewith is a [XX] REPLY TO PAPER NO. 5: AMENDMENT AND REMARKS and 9 sheets of drawing in the above-identified application.

[XX] Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted

[] A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.

[] No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY		OR	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL	*	MINUS	** 20	0	x 9	\$		x 18	\$
INDEP.	*	MINUS	*** 3	0	x 42	\$		x 84	\$
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM					+ 135	\$		+ 270	\$
					ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL	\$		TOTAL	\$

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

[XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity

Response Filed Within

[] First - \$ 55.00
[] Second - \$ 200.00
[] Third - \$ 460.00
[] Fourth - \$ 720.00

Month After Time Period Set

Other Than Small Entity

Response Filed Within

[] First - \$ 110.00
[] Second - \$ 400.00
[] Third - \$ 920.00
[] Fourth - \$ 1440.00

Month After Time Period Set

[] Less fees (\$) already paid for month(s) extension of time on .

[] Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$.

[] Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$.

[] A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no.).

[XX] The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By:
Sheridan Neimark
Registration No. 20,520

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
Telephone: (202) 628-5197



7B
84
12/31/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: LEE=78

In re Application of:)	Art Unit: 1765
)	
Ho-Yang LEE et al)	Examiner: M. Anderson
)	
Appln. No.: 09/857,774)	Washington, D.C.
)	
Date Filed: June 11, 2001)	Confirmation No. 9764
)	
For: METHOD FOR SINGLE CRYSTAL)		December 23, 2002
GROWTH OF PEROVSKITE...		

RECEIVED
DEC 26 2002
TC 1700

REPLY TO PAPER NO. 5: AMENDMENT AND REMARKS

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Replying to the Office Action mailed September 23,
2002, please amend as follows:

THE DRAWING

Submitted herewith are prints marked in red to show
proposed drawing revisions consistent with the requirements of
the examiner and the Official Draftsperson, as explained
below. Applicants request approval, after which formal
drawings will be submitted.

IN THE CLAIMS

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the
changes made to the claims by the current amendment. The